Hydroxychloroquine in relation to Chapter 27 of Ares Le Mandat.

US President Trump has advocated for Hydroxychloroquine to be considered as an effective treatment for COVID-19. However, after a number of people have been reported to experience serious adverse side effects, the general consensus has-as a result- turned largely pessimistic about Hydroxychloroquine's effectiveness. The reason I considered the recommendation of a malaria drug as solid reasoning is based on my research in making sense of how overall health is divided mainly into two opposing sides. This is explained in Chapter 27 of Ares Le Mandat. The lineup of symptoms, vitamins, and minerals on one side can each fight against the symptoms, vitamins and minerals of the other side. My reasoning infers that because Vitamin E is designated to side one of health in Chapter 27, while flu is designated to side two, Vitamin E can easily be nominated as a candidate for treatment of anything flu-like(I infer COVID-19 as a flu-like illness). Because its hypothesized that anything on side one can fight against anything on side two, theoretically-as a result of that-any symptom, vitamin or mineral from side one is a contender to fight against any symptom, vitamin or mineral on side two. Judging from the way the components(symptom, vitamin or mineral) of each side is allocated- with high insulin on side one versus both flu and malaria on side two(see pg 533-534 of Chapter 27 of Ares Le Mandat)- Hydroxychloroquine with its high insulin/hypoglycemic side effect becomes a solid proposal in the fight against COVID-19. After reading about the fatal cases pertaining to Hydroxychloroquine use, I learned that the adverse effects mirrored strongly the adverse affects of extreme hypoglycemia and insulin overdose which both normally end in cardiac arrest. This is not the case for all reported treatments of COVID-19 with Hydroxychloroquine. Hydroxychloroquine has been found effective in some studies. Treatment with Hydroxychloroquine cut the death rate significantly in sick patients hospitalized with COVID-19 – and without heart-related side-effects, according to a new study published by Henry Ford Health System. https://www.henryford.com/news/2020/07/hydro-treatment-study

What Hydroxychloroquine does-in terms of how it applies to the Chapter 27 perspective-is draw from the high insulin component of side one and uses that to fight against the components of side two. Furthermore, it should not be surmised that this infers for a component of one side to be without problems should it be administered beyond what is necessary for treatment. This is happening with use of Hydroxychloroquine in some cases. A good analogy is drinking not just enough water just to satisfy one's thirst, but drinking too much to not only satisfy one's thirst but also go overboard and at the same time bring oneself to water intoxication. In this, one can understand such a scenario doesn't discount water altogether as an effective treatment for thirst. The key for any further research on Hydroxychloroquine would be in understanding the individual patient's initial level of insulin and administering based on that in order to circumvent the dangers of the hypoglycemia/high insulin overdose symptom of Hydroxychloroquine's adverse effects.

Moreover, what Chapter 27 of Ares Le Mandat does is show why there is more than one effective method to fight COVID-19 or any other disease.